Application No.: 10/786,742

Reply to OA Dated: June 11, 2008

Reply Dated: September 11, 2008

Remarks/Arguments

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in response to the Office Action of June

11, 2008.

Claims 1-9, 11-23, 25, 27-29, 33-39, 41, 42 and 44-67 were pending in the application

prior to the outstanding Office Action. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-9,

11-23, 25, 27-29, 33-39, 41, 42 and 44-67.

The present Response amends claims 1, 12, 18, 28, 34, 45, 51, and 62; and cancel claims

11, 27, and 44, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration claims 1-9, 12-23, 25, 28-29,

33-39, 41, 42 and 45-67. Applicant hereby respectfully reserves the right to continue

prosecuting the canceled claims if necessary. Reconsideration of the rejections is requested.

A. Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

Claims 1-33 were rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention is directed to

non-statutory subject matter. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims have been amended

to comply with the statutory requirement under 35 USC 101.

B. Claim Rejections – 35 USC §103

Claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 13-19, 24, 29-34, 35, 42, 46-52, 59, 60 and 63- 68 were rejected under

35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Anuff (US 2002/0029296A1) in view of Samid (US

2002/0135617 A1).

Claims 3-5, 11, 20-22, 27, 36-38, 44, 53-55 were rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being

unpatentable over Anuff in view of Samid and further in view of Sollenberger (US

2002/0152279A1).

Claims 6, 8, 12, 23, 25, 28, 39, 41, 45, 56, 58 and 62 were rejected under 35 USC 103 (a)

as being unpatentable over Anuff in view of Samid and further in view of Parker (The Complete

Idiots Guide to Microsoft FrontPage 2000).

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01371US1

M:\tliu\wp\ORACL\1351-1399\1371US1\1371us1\_Reply\_061108OA.doc

12

Application No.: 10/786,742

Reply to OA Dated: June 11, 2008

Reply Dated: September 11, 2008

Claim 1

Claim 1 has been amended to include a feature of "a content management user interface

operable to define and/or manage content, wherein content is part of a virtual content repository

(VCR), and wherein a VCR is a logical representation of one or more individual content

repositories such that the one or more individual content repositories appear and behave as a

single content repository." The definition of the VCR is supported by a related Patent

application: CONTENT MINING FOR VIRTUAL CONTENT REPOSITORIES, U.S.

Application No. 10/772,625, Inventors: Gregory Smith, et al., filed on February 5, 2004, which

was incorporated by reference in Paragraph [0050] of the present application.

Applicant respectfully submits that there is no indication in cited prior art of a content

management user interface operable to define and/or manage content, wherein content is part of

a virtual content repository (VCR), and wherein a VCR is a logical representation of one or more

individual content repositories such that the one or more individual content repositories appear

and behave as a single content repository.

Therefore, Claim 1 should be in allowable condition.

Claim 18, 34, and 51

Claims 18, 34, and 51, while independently patentable, recite limitations that, similarly to

those described above with respect to claim 1, are not taught, suggested nor otherwise rendered

obvious by the cited references. Reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claim 2-9, 12-17, 19-23, 25, 28-29, 33, 35-39, 41, 42, 45-50, and 52-67

Claims 2-9, 12-17, 19-23, 25, 28-29, 33, 35-39, 41, 42, 45-50, and 52-67 are not

addressed separately, but it is respectfully submitted that these claims are allowable as depending

from an allowable independent claim, and further in view of the comments provided above.

Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 2-10, and 12-20 are similarly neither anticipated by,

nor obvious in view of the cited references, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

It is also submitted that these claims also add their own limitations which render them

patentable in their own right. Applicant respectfully reserves the right to argue these limitations

should it become necessary in the future.

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01371US1

M:\tliu\wp\ORACL\1351-1399\1371US1\1371us1\_Reply\_061108OA.doc

13

Application No.: 10/786,742 Reply to OA Dated: June 11, 2008 Reply Dated: September 11, 2008

## C. Conclusion

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 11, 2008

By: /Kuiran (Ted) Liu/

Kuiran (Ted) Liu

Reg. No. 60,039

Customer No. 23910 FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 California Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 362-3800